

1366.

*Membrane 20d—cont.*

profits, have prevented many ships coming with merchandise to the town from putting in there, taken the customs and subsidies of goods and merchandise coming on the king's soil there, released (*liberarunt*) the lastage of ships coming to Waymuth, rescued and led off by armed power malefactors recently arrested at Mellecomb for trespasses committed there and caused many burgesses to be distrained to answer in Waymuth about trespasses and contracts made in Mellecomb, and daily do many other trespasses, usurpations, encroachments, losses, grievances and excesses both to the king and them. By C.

Oct. 30.

Westminster.

Commission to Fulk de Bermyngeham, William de Shareshull, William Catesby and Robert Burgiloun to make inquisition in the county of Warwick touching a complaint by Richard de Tysho, clerk, late proctor in the court of Rome, that, a dispute having arisen in that court between William de Campeden, presented by Eleanor de Sudleye to the vicarage of Dorset, and William Sewy, provided by that court to the said vicarage, William de Peyto and others despoiled the said William de Campeden of his vicarage, to which he had been canonically instituted, and intruded the said William Sewy into the same, on account of which the king prohibited the said William Sewy from attempting anything in derogation of his royal dignity or of the laws and customs of the realm, or which might turn to the disherison of Eleanor, although the said William Campeden in right of the said Eleanor obtained sentence for himself in the courts of Rome against the said William Sewy in the matter of that vicarage, at the suit of the said Richard, his proctor, as appears by bulls and processes thereof, the said William de Peyto and others in the name of William Sewy have prosecuted complainant in the king's court for a trespass as far as to putting him in exigent, when being warned of this, he returned from the court of Rome to England and made fine with the said William de Peyto in 20*l.* by bond on this condition, that he would not further sue his process against the said William Sewy, upon which William de Peyto promised that he would cause the suit of outlawry to be stayed, and he relying on that promise returned to the court of Rome, but after he quitted the realm he was outlawed in the county of Warwick at the untrue suit of the said William de Peyto, against the said covenant, and his goods were seized by the escheator of that county at the intimation of the said William, although he prosecuted nothing in this matter except for the keeping of the laws and rights of the realm, and for protecting Eleanor from disherison in respect of the vicarage; and that the said William de Peyto caused a nephew of him, the said Richard, to be imprisoned at Warrewyk and has long kept him in prison there on account of his hatred to him. By C.

*MEMBRANE 19d.*

Oct. 26.

Westminster.

Commission of oyer and terminer to John Moubray, Thomas de Ingelby, Robert de Morton, Roger de Fulthorp, William de Reygate, Richard de Ask, and William de Nessefeld, on complaint by John, archbishop of York, that whereas he, according to his episcopal office, sent John de Birton, vicar of the church of Sandale, dean of Pontefract, his servant, to cite Margaret late the wife of Robert de Baghill, notoriously defamed of many great delinquencies, to appear before him to answer touching the same, and the said John would have executed his mandate at Lostsco, John, abbot of Kirkestall, and