

1299.

*Membrane 6d—cont.*

that the water-way of the Trent should be free for persons navigating it for one perch on each side of the middle of the stream, William de Colewyk has by weirs in mid-stream, made by himself and his ancestors, prevented persons navigating so that they cannot come to the town and castle with goods and merchandise. By K.

Oct. 23. Westminster. The like to William Haward and John Bakun, touching the persons who carried away the goods of Ralph de Monte Hermeri, earl of Gloucester and Hertford, found in his ship in his port of Suthwaude, co. Suffolk, whilst the earl was in Scotland on the king's service and under his protection, and cut into fragments some ships of the earl's men which had put into that port, and sunk them, and from day to day prevented, and still prevent, his merchants in charge of ships from putting into that port, as they were accustomed to do. By K.

Oct. 24. Westminster. The like to Roger de Hegham and Robert Pugeys, touching an appeal which John del Escheker brings in the county of Oxford against William Lenke of Balescote for the death of William del Escheker his brother. By K.

Oct. 23. Westminster. The like to John de Batesford and Roger de Hegham, touching the persons who broke down the enclosure of a path in Styventon, co. Berks, and re-opened the lane, which the king had, after inquisition *ad quod damnum* made by the sheriff of Berks, given licence to the prior of Styventon to enclose for the enlargement of his court.

Oct. 25. Westminster. Association of William Colebraunt in a commission *de wallis et fossatis*, directed to Robert de Setvans, William de Hasting and William Maufe, in the counties of Kent and Sussex.

Nov. 2. St. Albans. Commission of oyer and terminer to Ralph de Sandwico and Master John de Selveston, on complaint by John de Esingham, merchant of Brabant, and Walter his son, that Richerus de Refham and Simon Bolet of London imprisoned them at London. By K., on the information of J. de Benstede.

*MEMBRANE 5d.*

Nov. 12. Tickhill. The like to John Lovel of Snotescumbe and Henry de Gyldford, on complaint by William de Colewyk, that William de Normanton, John de Graham, Richard le Coppere, Walter le Peintur, John de Rempeston, John le Bere, Hugh de Wolaston, Henry de Wolaston, William de Herdeby, Richard de Warwyk, John le Coppere, Henry de Wodeburgh, Henry de Lenn, William de Lyndeby, Robert de Arnhale, John de Eyun, Richard le Curzun, William le Coppere, Ralph de Penerwych, John Jurdan, Nicholas Bithe Watre, John Pycard, Robert le Nurricesune, William de Frodesham and Meuric his brother, John le Nurricesune, Walter Porpeis, Walter de Bedale, Robert son of Nicholas de Newerk, Ralph Algod of Torkeseye, Robert son of Walter de Newerk, Richard de Lincoln of Newerk, Robert Goderyngas of Torkeseye, Roger de Morton, Philip de Muksham, William son of Roger de Crophill and John his brother, Peter de Morewode, William Casteleyn, Richard Lespicer, William Bulegh, John son of Ingelram the tailor, Ralph de Horston, William de Penkeston and Robert son of Thomas Daste, broke a millpond and weir of his at Great Colewyk, co. Nottingham, cut down some of his trees and uprooted others, carried away his goods there, and beat his men on the high road at Sneynton. By K.

Nov. 16. York. The like to Robert de Retford and Henry Spigurnel, on complaint by the abbot of St. Albans that the prior of Ledes, brothers Thomas de Maydenston,